Impact of Seasonal Variation on the Growth Dynamics of the Marine Catfish, *Arius maculatus* (Siluriformes: Ariidae) Collected from Karachi Fish Harbour

Muhammad Amin^{1*}, Mohammad Shoaib¹, Naveed Ahmad², Mohammad Attaullah³, Ayaz Ali Khan⁴, Hazrat Ali⁵, Masarrat Yousuf¹, Felipe Morcillo⁶, Ivar Zekker⁷, Islam Dad Buneri¹ and Mohamad Nor Azra⁸

 ¹Department of Zoology, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan
²Aquatic Diagnostic and Research Center Bahria University, Karachi-75260, Pakistan
³Department of Zoology, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Lower Dir, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
⁴Department of Biotechnology, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Lower Dir, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
⁵Department of Chemistry, University of Malakand, Chakdara, Lower Dir, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, Pakistan
⁶Department of Biodiversity, Ecology and Evolution, Faculty of Biological Sciences, Complutense University of Madrid E-28040 Madrid, Spain
⁷Institute of Chemistry, University of Tartu, 14a Ravila St., Tartu, Estonia
⁸Institute of Tropical Aquaculture and Fisheries, Universiti Malaysia Terengganu, Kuala Nerus, Terengganu, Malaysia

ABSTRACT

Overfishing is the main cause of fish stock exploitation in the marine environment. In the current study growth dynamics of the marine catfish, *Arius maculatus* collected from Karachi Fish Harbour were studied. The relationship between total length and weight (W=aL^b) was significantly linear (p<0.001). The condition factor (K_f) varied from 1.06±0.12to 1.23±0.07. The 'b' values and R² values indicated that the growth was significantly (p<0.001) positive allometric (b>3) in the monsoons season while in the pre- and post-monsoon the growth was reported as significantly negative allometric (b<3). The negative allometric growth shows that the fish are unable to maintain their shape in the specific season. It is suggested to stop the fishing activities for marine catfishes during the spawning and oral incubation period in pre-monsoon especially from February to mid-April to ensure sustainable fishing with a better growth dynamic.

INTRODUCTION

Catfishes of the family Ariidae, vulnerable to overfishing have a long life, delayed maturation, single spawning season, low fecundity and oral incubation

^{*} Corresponding author: aminmuhammad013@yahoo.com 0030-9923/2024/0001-0001 \$ 9.00/0



Copyright 2024 by the authors. Licensee Zoological Society of Pakistan.



Article Information Received 25 November 2023 Revised 05 January 2024 Accepted 24 January 2024 Available online 23 April 2024 (early access)

Authors' Contribution MA conceived the idea and wrote the manuscript. NA helped in experimental work. MA assisted in data analysis. AAK and HA evaluated the statistical data. MA revised the manuscript. FM and IZ reviewed and improved the manuscript, IDB and MNA helped in literature search, MS, supervised the work.

Key words

Arius maculatus, Growth dynamics, Marine catfish, Length-weight relationship, Condition factor, Spotted catfish

(Rimmer and Merrick, 1982; King and McFarlane, 2003; Winemiller, 2005). Especially these catfishes are vulnerable to overfishing (King and McFarlane, 2003; Winemiller, 2005). Catfish utilize a huge diversity of both plant and animal protein, and can adapt to unfavorable ecological conditions. Marine catfish tolerate a wide range of salinities between open sea and fresh water. They have soft scale less bodies, are greatly appreciated by consumers and maintain a healthy condition in both fresh and dried conditions (Amin *et al.*, 2016).

Growth dynamics in fish populations could be calculated by length-weight relationship (LWR) parameters (Morato *et al.*, 2001). According to Beyer (1987), LWR helps to understand a mathematical relation between the two variables of length and weight. The growth dynamic

This article is an open access \Im article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

relationship is different among species because of their body shape and within species due to the condition of individual fish (Schneider et al., 2000). The condition factor is used to compare the condition, fatness or wellbeing of fish (Ahmed et al., 2011). LWR is an important tool for stock assessment, growth dynamic studies in fish population and for condition factor estimation (Abdurahiman et al., 2004; Dutta et al., 2012; Eagderi et al., 2020). Overfishing is among the major threats to fish depletion as the landing doubled in the last two decades, mostly due to the demands of alien fisheries (Dulvy et al., 2003; FAO, 2020a). Besides the financial worth of fisheries for numerous countries, fish is the dominant source of protein for human populations (FAO, 2020a). Relative body condition is an important indicator of the growth and reproduction of individuals or population (Gubiani et al., 2020; Jakob et al., 1996). Fish LWR parameters are important indicators for differentiation of taxonomic units and the changes in various progressive events such as metamorphosis, growth and maturity commencement (Mims and Knaub, 1993; Thomas et al., 2003; Alvarez- Lajonchère, 2012). It also increases the knowledge regarding commercially important fish natural history. Thus, making conservation possible as well as giving clues on climatic change impact in fisheries (Sarkar et al., 2013). The condition factor (K), is used to determine existing and upcoming population success by its influence on survival, growth and reproduction (Le Cren, 1951). Fulton's condition factor (K_c) is commonly used in the investigation of ontogenetic changes (Safran, 1992) and for life-history comparisons (Petrakis and Stergiou, 1995; Simon *et al.*, 2009). Different values in K_c of a fish reveal the condition of sexual maturity, the level of food source accessibility, age, gender of various species (Anibeze, 2000). These relationships are also a necessary component of Fish Base (Froese and Pauly, 2012). The relative condition factor (Kn) introduced by le Cren (1951) balances for alterations in form or condition with length and could be applied to show whether an individual is in a better (Kn > 1) or bad (Kn < 1)state than a standard individual of the equal length.

The earlier studies on *Arius maculatus*, also known as spotted catfish, were mainly on the growth and food habitat (Mazlan *et al.*, 2008). The current study aims to investigate the effects of seasonal variation on the growth dynamic of commercially important marine catfish *A. maculatus*. This study could help in sustainable fisheries management and provides the baseline for future study within the Arabian Sea.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Study area

The chief fish harbor of Pakistan is Karachi Fish

Harbour, which controls about 90% of fish and seafood catch in Pakistan (Pakissan.com, 2001-2007). The fishes samples were collected from Karachi Fish Harbour, West Wharf Karachi coast which is located at 66° 8/E longitude and 24°48'N latitude on the northeastern borderline of the Arabian Sea. Karachi has a temperate climate. The annual average temperature and rainfall are 21.15-30.78°C and 209.44mm, respectively. According to the Economic Survey of Pakistan, fisheries are the major source of livelihoods for many countryside communities inhabiting the coastline of Sindh and Baluchistan. Fish catch has decreased over the past two decades leading to poor socio-economy in the coastal areas of Pakistan. The Pakistan fish industry fetched 5.6 billion Rs in 2009-2010 and also employs 1 percent of the country's population and generates 1.3% of Pakistan's GDP (GOP, 2010).

Collection of material

Arius maculatus was seasonally (pre-monsoon, monsoon and post-monsoon) collected from Karachi Fish Harbour on weekly basis. Bottom trawls were used for catching the fishes off the shore and gill net was used to catch the fish in the coastal area in Arabian Sea. Specimens were identified with the assistance of FAO (Fischer and Whitehead, 1974) and Bianchi (1985) guidelines.

Length- weight relationship

Fish were sexed as male and female by observing the gonads. For each individual, total length (TL) was calculated from the lower jaw tip to the end of the caudal fin to the nearest 0.1 cm using a steel scale and whole-body weight (BW) was taken on a digital balance (Hytek) with 0.1 g accuracy. LWR were calculated by the formula of linear regression using Equation 1:

 $Y = a + b * X \dots (1)$

The LWR was estimated using the Equation 2:

 $W = aTL^{b}$ (Ricker, 1973), ...(2)

Where W is the derived weight, TL is total length (mm), a is the regression intercept curve and b is the regression coefficient. The length-weight relationship between males and females were calculated separately. When 'b' value is equal to 3 (b=3), the growth is isometric while the higher (b>3) and lower (b<3) values than 3 are called positive and negative allometric, respectively. The values of a and b were calculated by Equation 3. Student's t-test was applied to confirm the difference in significance of the isometric (b=3) b values.

$$Log_{10} W = a + b \log_{10} TL \dots (3)$$

Condition factor (K) and relative condition factor (Kn)

Condition factor (K_{f}) and relative condition factor (Kn) of the fish were calculated by the Equations 4 and 5,

respectively:

 $K_{f} = 100 \times W/L3$ (Fulton, 1904) ...(4)

Kn = W/aLb (Le Cren, 1951) ...(5)

The values of a and b are taken from the logarithmic LWR equation.

Excel 2007 was used for data analysis and statistics. A *p*-value< 0.05 was considered significant.

RESULTS

During the study period, 204 male and 141 female of *A. maculatus* were collected. The highest number of the specimens were recorded in order monsoon (160) > post-monsoon (97) > pre-monsoon (88). In males the mean total length and weight were recorded as 40.23 ± 0.39 cm in monsoon and 37 ± 0.23 cm in pre-monsoon and 794.9 ± 18.2 g in monsoon and 566.6 ± 4.6 g in premonsoon, respectively. In female the maximum total length (41 ± 0.41 cm) and weight (807.12 ± 14.55 g) were obtained in monsoon and post-monsoon season, respectively. The lowest mean total length (33.55 ± 0.504 cm) and weight (596 ± 16 g) were measured in pre-monsoon (Table I).

The overall allometric coefficient *b* for LWR in the entire three seasons for combined sexes (Fig. 1) indicated negative allometric growth (*b*<3) and coefficient of determination (R²) was reported as significant (<0.5) (*LogW* =-0.709+2.23*TL*, r²=0.701). Fulton condition factor ($K_f = 100 \times W/L^3$) for overall data was 2.310. The highest (1.2) and the lowest (1.06) K_f ($K_f = 100 \times W/L^3$) were recorded during monsoon in male and female, respectively (Fig. 2).

The growth of male specimens in pre-monsoon was negatively allometric (W = $1.04 \times TL^{1.08}$ and R² = 0.902). The Student's t-test indicated a highly significant changes as the slope is different from the slope of 3 (t= - 241.12**, *p*<0.001) (Fig. 3A, Table II). The growth of female specimens in pre-monsoon was also negatively allometric (W = $0.50 \times TL^{2.07}$ and R² = 0.834). The Student's t test indicated a highly significant changes as the slope is different from the theoretical slope of 3 (t= 78.40**, *p*<0.001) (Fig. 3B, Table II).



Fig. 1. Length/weight relationship in combined sex of *A. maculatus*.



Fig. 2. Condition factor (K_j) of *A. maculatus* in different season.

The growth of *A. maculatus* male in monsoon was positive allometric as its 'b' value was found to be 3.22 and the LWR was $W = 2.35 \times TL^{3.22}$ (Fig. 4A, Table II). The R² value was 0.329. The Student's t test (102** p<0.001) indicated a highly significant change in LWR. The growth of female in monsoon was reported as negatively allometric (W = $0.5 \times TL^{2.1}$ and R² = 0.853) with a highly significance in-test (75.88**, p<0.001) (Fig. 4B, Table II). The growth of *A. maculatus* male in post-monsoon was W= $1.13 \times TL^{2.25}$ and R² = 0.754 (Fig. 5A) where the 'b' value was 2.945, the R² value was 0.9923 so the growth indicated

Table I. Seasonal variation in growth indices of male and female marine catfish, A. maculatus.

	Pre-Monsoon				Monsoon				Post-Monsoon			
	Male (n=48)		Female (n=40)		Male (n=90)		Female (n=70)		Male (n=66)		Female (n=31)	
	TL (cm)	W (g)	TL (cm)	W (g)	TL (cm)	W (g)	TL (cm)	W (g)	TL (cm)	W (g)	TL (cm)	W (g)
Min-Max.	34-42	495-615	31.5-42	378-716	34-45.65	512-1133	34-47	492-1016	33-46	576-1082	37-45	695-960
Mean±S.E.	37± 0.23	566.6± 4.65	33.8± 0.504	596.8± 16	40.2± 0.39	794.9± 18.23	41± 0.41	741.7± 17.55	39.2± 0.32	774.6± 20	40.8± 0.55	801.75± 14.55

N, sample size; TL, total length; W, weight; g, gram; Min, minimum; Max, maximum; S.E., standard error.

Equation	Pr	e-Monsoon		Monsoon	Post-Monsoon		
$W=a + b \times TL$	Male	Female	Male	Female	Male	Female	
a	1.04	-0.50	2.34	0.56	1.12	5.45	
S.E. (a)	0.23	0.236	0.95	0.24	0.39	0.32	
b	1.08	2.07	3.23	2.12	2.55	1.55	
S.E. (b)	0.146	0.15	0.58	0.25	0.24	0.20	
R ²	0.555	0.834	0.32	0.857	0.75	0.74	
Mean $K_f \pm S.E.$	1.124±0.5	1.12±0.02	1.2±.02	1.06±0.12	1.2±0.03	1.2±0.07	
Mean $Kn \pm S.E.$	00	0.833±0.01	00	0.6±0.01	0.06 ± 0.001	$0.59{\pm}0.02$	
t-test	-241.1**	78.40**	102**	75.8**	81.8**	105.1**	
p-value	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.001	

Table II. Length-Weight relationships (log₁₀ transformed) of male and female marine catfish A. maculatus.

 \overline{a} , intercept; b, slope; R², coefficient of determination; **, highly significant; K_{f} represent Fulton; Kn, relative condition factors; p < 0.05 significant; p < 0.001 highly significant.



Fig. 3. Length weight relationship of male (A) and female (B) *A. maculatus* in pre-monsoon.

negative allometric. The t test was found highly significant as 81.88^{**} where p<0.001 (Table II). In postmonsoon season the growth in female specimens was noted as negatively allometric (W= $5.44 \times TL^{1.55}$ and R² = 0.787) with a highly significant t-test (105.12**, p<0.001) (Fig. 5B, Table II). The relative condition factor (*Kn*) was recorded in females only where the highest value was recorded in pre-monsoon (0.833 ± 0.01) followed by monsoon (0.6 ± 0.01) and then post-monsoon (0.59 ± 0.02) (Table II).



Fig. 4. Length weight relationship of male (A) and female (B) *A. maculatus* in monsoon.



Fig. 5. Length weight relationship of male (A) and female (B) *A. maculatus* in post-monsoon.

DISCUSSION

The current results indicated a linear relationship of increase in weight with length, however, this ratio shows variation in various fish species, habitat, health condition, water temperature and food (Le Cren, 1951; Hossain and Ohtomi, 2010). The b value was used in the length-weight relationship (LWR) as the indicator of growth. The value of LWR (b) close to 3 indicates isometric growth, but its higher (b>3) and lower (b<3) values than 3 indicate positive and negative allometric growth, respectively (Tesch et al., 1971). In the current study, the highest and lowest significant (p<0.001) b values were reported during monsoon and pre-monsoon in male, respectively. The combined overall b value in the entire season was also reported as negative allomeric (2.32). Similar results where b value is 1 (pre-monsoon, 1.79; monsoon, 1.07; post-monsoon, 1.13; overall, 1.11) were also reported by Muzaffar et al. (2020) in Rastrelliger kanagurta. Chu et al. (2012) reported in A. maculatus, a significant highest

b value (b=3.04) in monsoon and the lowest (b=2.83) in post-monsoon. Our findings also support the study of Chu et al. (2012). The b values of the different catfish species, Arius gagora, Neutoma caelatus, A. tenuispinis, Osteogeneiosus militaris had shown positive allometry and Neutoma bilineata, A. dussumieri and Neutoma thalassina were indicating negative allometry. The b values above isometric (b=3) of large specimens are the result of increase in girth (Froese, 2006). The *b* values for A. dussumieri and Arius caelatus reported by Dutta and Hazra (2013) and from West Bengal Froese (2006) were close to the current findings of A. maculatus. The allometric growth in b values depend on several factors such as sex, gonad maturity, physiology, season, habitat, food, stomach fullness, length frequency and fishing gear (Tesch, 1968; Gayanilo and Pauly, 1997; De Giosa et al., 2014; Eduardo et al., 2019, 2020a; Grimaldo et al., 2020; Slayden, 2020; López-Pérezet al., 2020). Thus, the negative and positive allometric growth in fishes indicate poor habitat conditions and optimum habitat conditions, respectively (Abowei, 2010).

In this study the coefficient of determination (R^2) is between 0.32 (male) to 0.859 (female) in monsoon. The high R^2 ($r^2 > 0.5$) values indicate good growth rate and less than 0.5 shows growth rate is abnormal (Afsarullah *et al.*, 2015).

 K_f indicates the plumpness, maturity and spawning gonadal development and general fitness of the fish (Wootton, 1990). Condition factor of *A. maculatus* in the current study is between 1.23±.02 (male) to 1.06±0 (female) in monsoon season. However, the K_r factor of fish can be affected by some factors such as stress, gender, season, nutrition and other ecological parameters (Khallaf *et al.*, 2003).

Relative condition factor (Kn) of the current study indicated that the highest Kn value was reported in premonsoon (0.833 ± 0.01) and the lowest in post-monsoon (0.59 ± 0.02) (Table II). Higher Kn values indicate that the fish in good condition. Vazzoler (1996) reported that the lowest K values during the mature gonad stages indicated that the resource had been transferred to the gonads during the reproduction cycle. The present maximum Kn value in pre-monsoon indicated contradiction with reports of Twilley (1988) and Harrison et al. (1997) as per their study higher condition factor was expected during the monsoon, due Northeast monsoon winds increased primary productivity in Arabian Sea. Lower fishing pressure could cause negative allometric growth while the positive allometric growth is the consequence of excessive fishing pressure (Bilgin and Solak, 2020).

CONCLUSION

This study provides baseline information on the growth of marine catfish, *A. maculatus* from the Arabian Sea. Moreover, the LWR of this commercially important fish provide information for stock assessment and sustainable fisheries management. This work has also highlighted positive and negative allometric growth in natural habitat of this species.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

I gratefully acknowledge the help of my father named Muhammad Zaman Khan who provided me the samples and helped in fish identification.

Funding

Department of Zoology, University of Karachi, Karachi, Pakistan provided funds and logistics for this study.

Statement of conflict of interest

The authors have declared no conflict of interest.

REFERENCES

- Abdurahiman, K.P., Nayak, T.H., Zacharia, P.U. and Mohamed, K.S., 2004. Length-weight relationship of commercially important marine fishes and shellfishes of the southern coast of Karnataka, India. NAGA World Fish Centre Quart., 27: 9-14. http://eprints.cmfri.org.in/id/eprint/6139
- Abowei, J.F.N., 2010. The condition factor, lengthweight relationship and abundance of *Ilisha* africana (Block, 1795) from Nkoro River Niger Delta, Nigeria. Adv. J. Fd. Sci. Technol., 2: 6-11.
- Afsarullah, Z.A., Shams, S., Masood, Z., Musarrat-ul-Ain, R.Y., Rehman, H.U., Ullah, A. and Achakzai, W.M., 2015. Length-weight relationship of the Singara fish (*Sperata seenghala*) in Baran dam of district Bannu, Khyber Pakhtunkhwa (KPK), Pakistan. *World*, 7: 52-54.
- Ahmed, E.O., Ali, M.E. and Aziz, A.A., 2011. Lengthweight relationships and condition factors of six fish species in Atbara River and Khashm El-Girba Reservoir, Sudan. *Int. J. agric. Sci.*, 3: 65-70. http:// www.bioinfo.in/contents.php?id=26
- Alvarez-Lajonchère, L. and Ibarra-Castro, L., 2012. Relationships of maximum length, length at first sexual maturity, and growth performance index in nature with absolute growth rates of intensive cultivation of some tropical marine fish. J.

World Aquacult. Soc., **43**: 607-620. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.2012.00591.x

- Amin, M., Shoaib, M., Nabi, G., Ahmed, N. and Kifayatullah, M., 2016. A comprehensive review on fishery biology of catfishes. J. Biol. Life Sci., 7: 1-11. https://doi.org/10.5296/jbls.v7i1.8421
- Anibeze, C.I.P., 2000. Length-weight relationship and relative condition of *Heterobranchus longifilis* (Valenciennes) from Idodo River, Nigeria. *NAGA*, *Iclarm Q.*, 23: 34-35.
- Beyer, J.E., 1987. On length-weight relationships. Part I: Computing the mean weights of the fish in a given length class. *Fishbyte*, **5**: 11-13.
- Bianchi, G., 1985. Field guide to the commercial marine and brackish-water species of Pakistan. *FAO identification sheets for fishery purpose*, PAK/77/033 and FAO (FIRM) regular program., pp. 1-200.
- Bilgin, S. and Solak, E., 2020. Weight-length relationships (WLRs) of Anchovy, *Engraulis encrasicolus* with the evaluation of overfishing effects on the slope (b) in the Black Sea (Turkey). J. *Anatolian Environ. Anim. Sci.*, **5**: 252-259. https:// doi.org/10.35229/jaes.726961
- Chu, W.S., Hou, Y.Y., Ueng, Y.T. and Wang, J.P., 2012. Correlation between the length and weight of *Arius maculatus* off the southwestern coast of Taiwan. *Braz. Arch. Biol. Technol.*, 55: 705-708. https://doi.org/10.1590/S1516-89132012000500009
- De Giosa, M., Czerniejewski, P. and Rybczyk, A., 2014. Seasonal changes in condition factor and weight -length relationship of invasive *Carassius* gibelio (Bloch, 1782) from Leszczynskie Lakeland, Poland. Adv. Zool., 2014: 678763. https://doi. org/10.1155/2014/678763
- Dulvy, N.K., Sadovy, Y. and Reynolds, J.D., 2003. Extinction vulnerability in marine populations. *Fish. Fisheries*, 4: 25-64. https://doi.org/10.1046/ j.1467-2979.2003.00105.x
- Dutta, S., and Hazra, S., 2013. A report on mega landing of Blacktipsea catfish, *Plicofollis dussumieri* (valenciennes, 1840) from frasergunje fishing harbour, and West Bengal, India. *Croatian. J. Fish*, **71**: 74-76. https://doi.org/10.14798/71.2.638
- Dutta, S., Maity, S., Chanda, A., Akhand, A. and Hazra, S., 2012. Length weight relationship of four commercially important marine fishes of Northern Bay of Bengal, West Bengal, India. J. appl. Environ. Biol. Sci., 2: 52-58.
- Eagderi, S., Mouludi-Saleh, A. and Cicek, E., 2020. Length-weight relationship of ten species of

Leuciscinae sub-family (Cyprinidae) from Iranian inland waters. *Int. Aqua. Res.*, **12**: 133.

- Eduardo, L.N., Mincarone, M.M., Lucena-Frédou, F., Martins, J.R., Afonso, G.V.F., Villarins, B.T. and Bertrand, A., 2020a. Length-weight relationship of twelve mesopelagic fishes from the western tropical Atlantic. *J. appl. Ichthyol.*, **36**: 845–848. https://doi.org/10.1111/jai.14084
- Eduardo, L.N., Mincarone, M.M., Villarins, B.T., Frédou, T., Lira, A.S., Bertrand, A. and Lucena-Frédou, F., 2019. Length-weight relationships of eleven mesopelagic fishes from oceanic islands of the southwestern tropical Atlantic. *J. appl. Ichthyol.*, **35**: 605–607. https://doi.org/10.1111/ jai.13840
- FAO, 2020a. *The state of world fisheries and aquaculture* 2020. Sustainability in action. Rome.
- Fischer, W. and Whitehead, P.J.P., 1974. FAO species identification sheets for fishery purposes: Eastern Indian ocean (fishing area 57) and western central pacific (fishing area 71). (No Title). https://www. fao.org/documents/card/en/c/d8a0fa81-5fb6-5ff9-8af9-a6de8726b444
- Froese, R. and Pauly, D., 2012. *Fish base*. World wide web electronic publication. http://www.fi shbase. org/
- Froese, R., 2006. Cube law, condition factor and weightlength relationships: History, meta-analysis and recommendations. J. appl. Ichthyol., 22: 241-253. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0426.2006.00805.x
- Fulton, T.W., 1904. *The rate of growth of fishes*. Twentysecond annual report, pp. 141-241.
- Gayanilo Jr, F.C. and Pauly, D., 1997. The FAO-ICLARM stock assessment tools (FiSAT) reference manual. FAO computerized information series 8. *Fisheries*, 262.
- Government of Pakistan, 2010. Pakistan economic survey 2009-2010. Ministry of Finance, Economic Advisor Wing, Islamabad.
- Grimaldo, E., Grimsmo, L., Alvarez, P., Herrmann, B., Tveit, G.M., Tiller, R. and Selnes, M., 2020. Investigating the potential for a commercial fishery in the Northeast Atlantic utilizing mesopelagic species. *ICES J. Mar. Sci.*, 77: 2541–2556. https:// doi.org/10.1093/icesjms/fsaa114
- Gubiani, É.A., Ruaro, R., Ribeiro, V.R. and de Santa Fé, Ú.M.G., 2020. Relative condition factor: Le Cren's legacy for fisheries science. *Acta Limnol. Brasil.*, 32. https://doi.org/10.1590/s2179-975x13017
- Harrison, P.J., Khan, N., Yin, K., Saleem, M., Bano, N., Nisa, M. and Azam, F., 1997. Nutrient and phytoplankton dynamics in two mangrove tidal

creeks of the Indus River delta, Pakistan. Mar. Ecol. Prog. Ser., 157: 13-19. https://doi.org/10.3354/ meps157013

- Hossain, M.Y. and Ohtomi, J., 2010. Growth of the southern rough shrimp *Trachysalambria curvirostris* (Penaeidae) in Kagoshima Bay, Southern Japan. J. Crustac. Biol., 30: 75-82. https:// doi.org/10.1651/08-3133.1
- Jakob, E.M., Marshall, S.D. and Uetz, G.W., 1996. Estimating fitness: A comparison of body condition indices. *Oikos*, 77: 61. https://doi. org/10.2307/3545585
- Khallaf, E., Galal, M. and Athuman, M., 2003. The biology of *Oreochromis niloticus* in a polluted canal. *Ecotoxicology*, **12**: 405-416. https://doi. org/10.1023/A:1026156222685
- King, J.R. and McFarlane, G.A., 2003. Marine fish life history strategies: Applications to fishery management. *Fish. Manage. Ecol.*, **10**: 249-264. https://doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2400.2003.00359.x
- Le Cren, E.D.,1951. The length-weight relationship and seasonal cycle in gonadal weight and condition in the perch (*Perca fluviatilis*). J. Anim. Ecol., **20**: 201-219. https://doi.org/10.2307/1540
- López-Pérez, C., Olivar, M.P., Hulley, P.A. and Tuset, V.M., 2020. Length–weight relationships of mesopelagic fishes from the equatorial and tropical Atlantic waters: Influence of environment and body shape. J. Fish. Biol., 96: 1388–1398. https://doi. org/10.1111/jfb.14307
 - Mazlan, A.G., Abdullah, S., Shariman, M.G. and Arshad, A., 2008. On the biology and bioacoustic characteristic of spotted catfish *Arius maculatus* (Thunberg 1792) from the Malaysian Estuary. *Res. J. Fish. Hydrol.*, **3**: 63-70.
 - Mims, S.D. and Knaub, R.S., 1993. Condition factors and length-weight relationships of pondcultured paddlefish *Polyodon spathula* with reference to other morphogenetic relationships. *J. World Aquacult. Soc.*, 24: 429–433. https://doi. org/10.1111/j.1749-7345.1993.tb00176.x
 - Morato, T., Afonso, P., Lourinho, P., Barreiros, J.P., Santos, R.S. and Nash, R.D.M., 2001. Length– weight relationships for 21 coastal fish species of the Azores, north-eastern Atlantic. *Fish Res.*, 50: 297-302. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0165-7836(00)00215-0
 - Muzzafar, K., Yousuf, F. and Khatune, S., 2020. Seasonal variation in length-weight relationships and condition factor of Rastrelliger kanagurta (Cuvier, 1816) (Scombridae) from Ibrahim Hyderi fish harbor (commercial fishers' catch), Karachi

coast, Pakistan. Bangladesh J. Agric. Life. Sci., 1:39-43.

- Pakissan.com; *Fisheries sector in Pakistan*. http:// www.pakissan.com/english/agr.overview/fisheries. sector.in. pakistan.shtml
- Petrakis, G. and Stergiou, K.I., 1995. Weightlength relationships for 33 fish species in Greek waters. *Fish Res.*, **21**: 465-469. https://doi. org/10.1016/0165-7836(94)00294-7
- Ricker, W.E., 1973. Linear regressions in fishery research. J. Fish. Bd. Can., 30: 409-434. https:// doi.org/10.1139/f73-072
- Rimmer, M.A. and Merrick, J.R., 1982. A review of reproduction and development in the fork-tailed catfishes (Ariidae). *Proc. Linn. Soc. New South Wales Sydney*, 107: 41-50.
- Safran, P., 1992. Theoretical analysis of the weightlength relationship in fish juveniles. *Mar. Biol.*, 112: 545-551. https://doi.org/10.1007/BF00346171
- Sarkar, U.K., Khan, G.E., Dabas, A., Pathak, A.K., Mir, J.I., Rebello, S.C. and Singh, S.P., 2013. Length weight relationship and condition factor of selected freshwater fish species found in River Ganga, Gomti and Rapti, India. J. environ. Biol., 34: 951-956.
- Schneider, J.C., Laarman, P.W. and Gowing, H., 2000. Length-weight relationships. Chapter 17 in Schneider, James C. (ed.) 2000. Manual of fisheries survey methods II: With periodic updates. Michigan Department of natural resources. *Fish. Spec. Rep.*, **25**, 411-429.
- Simon, K.D., Bakar, Y., Samat, A., Zaidi, C.C., Aziz, A. and Mazlan, A.G., 2009. Population growth, trophic level, and reproductive biology of two congeneric archer fishes (*Toxotes chatareus*, Hamilton 1822 and *Toxotes jaculatrix*, Pallas 1767)

inhabiting Malaysian coastal waters. J. Zhejiang Univ. Sci. B., **10**: 902-911. https://doi.org/10.1631/ jzus.B0920173

- Slayden, N., 2020. Age and growth of predatory mesopelagic fishes in a low-latitude oceanic ecosystem. Master's thesis. Nova Southeastern University, pp. 73. https://nsuworks.nova.edu/ hcas etd all/10.
- Tesch, F.W., 1971. Age and growth. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters (ed. W.E. Ricker). Blackwell Scientific Publications. Oxford, pp. 97-130.
- Tesch, F.W., 1968. Age and growth. In: Methods for assessment of fish production in fresh waters (ed. W.E. Ricker). Blackwell Scientific Publications, Oxford, pp. 93-123.
- Thomas, J., Venu, S. and Kurup, B.M., 2003. Lengthweight relationship of some deep-sea fish inhabiting the continental slope beyond 250m depth along the West Coast of India. *NAGA*, **26**: 17-21.
- Twilley, R.R., 1988. Coupling of mangroves to the productivity of estuarine and coastal waters. In: Coastal-offshore ecosystem interactions; Lecture Notes on Coastal and Estuarine Studies, (ed. B.O. Jansson). Vol 22, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-52452-3_7
- Vazzoler, A.E.A., 1996. Biologia da reprodução de peixes teleósteos: Teoria e prática. Eduem, pp. 169.
- Winemiller, K.O., 2005. Life history strategies, population regulation, and implications for fisheries management. *Can. J. Fish. aquat. Sci.*, **62**: 872-885. https://doi.org/10.1139/f05-040
- Wootton, R.J., 1990. *Ecology of teleost fishes*. Chapman and Hall, Upper Saddle River, New Jersey, USA. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-94-009-0829-1